

## Assisted Dying (AD) myth buster on the Terminally III Adults (End of Life) bill for Peers (September 2025)

Claim	Evidence
Eligibility	
Doctors can reliably identify those who have only 6 months to live.	Research across thousands of prognosis assessments show that doctors' assessments of which patients are likely to die within 6 or 12 months are correct less than 50% of the time.  https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5901/cmpublic/TerminallyIllAdults/me mo/TIAB39.htm
People won't be able to have an assisted death because they feel they are a burden.	The Bill does not require that patients are asked why they want to die. Thus any reason for wanting assisted dying, including feeling a burden, would be OK. Around half of people in other jurisdictions choose AD because of feeling a burden.
The Mental Capacity Act is agreed to be the appropriate framework for testing capacity for the decision to have an assisted death.	The Royal College of Psychiatrists have stated "The Mental Capacity Act does not provide a framework for assessing decisions about ending one's own life", see point 4: <a a="" ad?="" am="" and="" around="" assess="" assessment="" assisted="" at="" capacity="" confident="" for="" get="" href="https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/news-and-features/latest-news/detail/2025/05/13/the-rcpsych-cannot-support-the-terminally-ill-adults-(end-of-life)-bill-for-england-and-wales-in-its-current-form&lt;/a&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Application of the Mental Capacity Act in the context of assisted dying would be straightforward.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Leading psychiatrists disagree. Dr Annabel Price has said " i="" i'm="" in="" know="" liaison="" looking="" mca="" my="" no."<="" people="" phd="" psychiatrist="" regularly.="" requesting="" right="" suicide.="" td="" the="" way="" with="" would=""></a>
Vulnerable groups	
The TIA Bill adequately protects vulnerable people.	The Royal College of Physicians and Royal College of Psychiatrists have explicitly stated that the bill fails to protect the vulnerable. Other representative bodies have similar concerns. <a 110="" 330.long"="" 5="" adc.bmj.com="" content="" href="https://rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/improving-care/better-mh-policy/policy/assisted-dying-assisted-suicide-january-2025/rcpsych-briefing-the-terminally-ill-adults-(end-of-life)-bill-report-stage-and-third-reading.pdf?sfvrsn=e7bfbf1c 1&lt;/a&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Assisted dying won't affect children.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;This law will affect children in many ways. Professionals can bring AD up, and discuss it with children. For children with life-limiting conditions, this law may send societal messages that some lives are not worth living. See editorial: &lt;a href=" https:="">https://adc.bmj.com/content/110/5/330.long</a>
People with anorexia would not be eligible	The Royal College of Psychiatrists are clear that there is a risk that people with anorexia – whose mental illness causes physical frailty – would be eligible



for assisted dying under	https://rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/improving-care/better-mh-
the TIA Bill.	policy/policy/assisted-dying-assisted-suicide-january-2025/rcpsych-briefing-
	the-terminally-ill-adults-(end-of-life)-bill-report-stage-and-third-
	reading.pdf?sfvrsn=e7bfbf1c 1 (pg 6)
People from minoritised	It is true that rates of AD are lower in minoritised groups. To understand the
ethnic groups generally	risks to different groups we need to examine not just whether people access
access assisted dying	AD but why they access it. There is evidence from community groups in
less than white people.	England that this law may deepen mistrust, and worsen health and care, for
Therefore there are no	minoritised people. There is evidence from other jurisdictions of people
concerns around	accessing AD because of social and economic suffering.
vulnerability of these	
groups.	
Under this Bill,	Having treatable depression will not exclude people from an assisted death
someone could not	within this Bill. Depression is common among people with terminal illness, is
request an assisted	often treatable, and does not necessarily impair capacity. See evidence given
death because they	by The Royal College of Psychiatrists to the House of Commons TIA Bill
were depressed.	Committee.
Disabled people	It's correct that in some public opinion polls around 70% people who are
support assisted dying.	disabled support AD. But <u>no</u> disability rights organisation – which tend to
	represent people with the most severe, life-long disabilities - supports assisted
	dying.
Pain and morphine	
Pain is inevitable at the	Many dying people never experience pain.
end of life.	
20 people per day (or	This data comes from a report that has not been peer-reviewed, and it was
around 7,000 people	based on a flawed assumption. See explainer blog:
per year) would die	https://blogs.bmj.com/spcare/2025/03/11/law-change-must-be-informed-by-
with unrelieved pain	robust-evidence/
even if they received	
high quality palliative	
care.	
There is an upper limit	There is no upper limit to morphine dose. The dose of drugs used at the end of
to the amount of	life should be titrated according to patients' symptoms, and given regularly as
morphine dying	
	the medication is metabolised. If people have side effects from one drug,
patients are 'allowed'.	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that
patients are 'allowed'.	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that people can die in peace
patients are 'allowed'.  People with morphine	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that people can die in peace  True morphine allergy is very rare. What is more common is experiencing side
patients are 'allowed'.  People with morphine allergy cannot receive	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that people can die in peace  True morphine allergy is very rare. What is more common is experiencing side effects such as nausea – which can be managed with medication and does not
patients are 'allowed'.  People with morphine	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that people can die in peace  True morphine allergy is very rare. What is more common is experiencing side effects such as nausea – which can be managed with medication and does not mean the morphine must be stopped. People who are allergic to morphine can
patients are 'allowed'.  People with morphine allergy cannot receive	alternatives can be used to ensure pain relief. Adequate pain relief means that people can die in peace  True morphine allergy is very rare. What is more common is experiencing side effects such as nausea – which can be managed with medication and does not



Assisted dying legalisation means people won't die in excruciating pain.  Use of morphine when people are in their last phase of life hastens their death.	First, assisted dying doesn't relieve pain, and it is not possible to predict who might experience severe pain at the end of life.  Second, many different drugs and non-medical approaches (such as nerve blocks) can effectively relieve pain in dying people. But many dying people (over 100,000 every year) do not receive the palliative care they need. Third, pain is low down the list of reasons why people request AD in jurisdictions where it is legal.  Finally, it is worth noting that the TIA Bill is silent on pain and on suffering.  There is no evidence that in appropriate doses, and titrated carefully to someone's pain, that giving morphine at the end of life hastens death. The misunderstanding comes about because two things are true: (1) dying people often receive drugs such as morphine for pain relief, (2) dying people die.
	These facts are correlated, rather than causatively related.
Experiences at the end of	of life
Vomiting faeces is something that commonly happens when people are dying.	Vomiting faeces is incredibly rare. What is more common is vomiting old, semi-digested food, which may have a brownish colour and therefore be mistaken for faeces. Explainer blog: <a href="https://blogs.bmj.com/spcare/2025/04/08/faecal-vomiting-a-case-of-frequently-mentioned-but-rarely-seen/">https://blogs.bmj.com/spcare/2025/04/08/faecal-vomiting-a-case-of-frequently-mentioned-but-rarely-seen/</a>
The rate of suicide in terminally ill people is twice the rate in nonterminally ill people.	It is correct that suicide risk is around twice as high among people diagnosed with severe physical illness. However, suicide risk is highest immediately after diagnosis and falls quickly within 3-6 months. There is no good evidence that suicide risk is higher in people who are in their last 6 months of life. This evidence supports better mental health support at the time of diagnosis of severe physical illness. See: <a href="https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanepe/article/PIIS2666-7762(22)00258-7/fulltext">https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanepe/article/PIIS2666-7762(22)00258-7/fulltext</a>
People approaching the end of life often resort to starving themselves to death.	Eating and drinking less is a natural part of dying. The body just doesn't need as much nutrition when someone is dying as it did when they were well. Voluntarily stopping eating and drinking is very unusual.
Rhetoric	
Assisted dying is a medical treatment.	The Bill itself is silent on the question of whether assisted dying is a treatment. This is a critical question because it has implications for clinical practice and law. This opinion piece makes the case that assisted dying should not be considered a treatment: <a href="https://www.bmj.com/content/389/bmj.r1182.long">https://www.bmj.com/content/389/bmj.r1182.long</a>
Assisted dying need not detract from palliative care.	There are many ways in which assisted dying can impair palliative care.  Through competition for resources (funding, staff capacity), through moral distress and burnout (85% of palliative medicine doctors are anti-AD), and through patients having fear of services.



	,
The Terminally III Adults	A 3-person panel, at the end of the assessment process, will not allow for
Bill incorporates an	multi-disciplinary decision making in its true sense. To be meaningful multi-
'MDT approach'.	disciplinary assessment needs to happen at the beginning of the process, not
	the end, and each multi-disciplinary team member should independently
	assess the patient in person.
This debate is simply	There are three groups of people who must be considered in this debate:
about people facing	1 – those who might want (and might benefit from) AD. This group receives the
terminal illness who	majority of media attention.
want control over their	2 – those who might be pushed towards it. For example, vulnerable groups
deaths.	pressured or coerced (by individuals or by their situation) to 'choose' an
	assisted death. Or people for whom there has been mis-diagnosis or mis-
	management that leads them to seek it.
	3 – those approaching the end of life for whom this legislation affects their
	health and care experiences, for example because they are reluctant to accept
	palliative care or because palliative care is less readily available.
We are not protecting	There is a small group of people (those with terminal illness who die by suicide)
vulnerable people now,	for whom this Bill adds safety. But there is another – larger - group of people
this Bill is safer than the	(those at risk of being pressured into AD, and those for whom the introduction
status quo.	of AD means worse care) for whom this Bill adds risk.
The public	Opinion polls show general public support. But they also show that support is
overwhelmingly	fragile. For example, half of supporters say they would switch to oppose if
support assisted dying.	people had assisted deaths because they couldn't access the health and care
	they need. <a href="https://www.kcl.ac.uk/policy-institute/assets/14587oct-assisted-">https://www.kcl.ac.uk/policy-institute/assets/14587oct-assisted-</a>
	<u>dying-survey-friday-4-oct.pdf</u>
We do not check for	This Bill can't stop people being coerced into going to Dignitas.
coercion now when	
people go to Dignitas.	In addition, coercion cannot be reliably detected by professionals.
Therefore, this Bill just	Recent BBC article where Safeguarding minister Jess Philips has admitted
puts a legislative	professionals can't detect domestic abuse.
framework around this	https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/articles/cr4e7yrxkgvo
decision.	
Palliative care	There is no evidence for this. It is notable that those doctors who are most
professionals are	anti-assisted dying are the ones who spend most of their time caring for dying
generally against	people (palliative medicine, geriatrics, oncology).
assisted dying because	
they are very religious.	